



Sample Ethereum Airdrop Audit

Source: demo-wallets.csv • Generated 2/14/2026, 10:30:00 AM

TOTAL WALLETS 500	APPROVED 163	MANUAL REVIEW 278	REJECTED 59	AVG RISK 23.5
-----------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

DECISION SUMMARY

- 163 approved wallets — clean reward candidates.
- 278 wallets need a project team decision.
- 59 rejected wallets — high-risk reward exclusions.
- 74 suspicious clusters detected — coordinated wallet groups requiring review.

RISK DISTRIBUTION

Low		393 (79%)
Medium		35 (7%)
High		13 (3%)
Critical		59 (12%)

KNOWN ENTITY FINDINGS

CATEGORY	COUNT
Exchange wallets	0
Service wallets	0
Total known entities	0

Known entities are not automatically malicious, but they are not typical individual campaign participants and should be manually reviewed.

TOP SUSPICIOUS CLUSTERS

CLUSTER	WALLETS	AVG RISK	SUGGESTED ACTION
CL-001	46	100	reject
CL-002	26	86.8	reject
CL-003	8	41	manual review
CL-004	3	40	manual review
CL-005	4	33.8	manual review

CL-006	4	30.3	manual review
CL-007	4	22.8	manual review
CL-008	4	15.8	manual review

KEY RISK FINDINGS

- Wallet is younger than 7 days
- Limited transaction history
- Campaign-only behavior pattern
- Shared funding source with 46 wallets
- Part of suspicious cluster CL-001
- Low contract interaction diversity
- Low total volume despite campaign activity
- Wallet is between 7 and 30 days old
- Low transaction count
- Wallet is younger than 90 days

DISCLAIMER

Tri-Proof Guard provides probabilistic risk analysis and decision support. A wallet being flagged does not prove malicious intent. Known exchange or service wallets are flagged for review because they may not represent individual reward participants. Final reward decisions should be made by the project team.